## Multiple Financing Structures Attract a Variety of Lenders

## Types of Financing Structures (Illustrative Examples For a \$20 million EBITDA Company)

Senior Stretch		Senior Cash Flo & Junior Debt	ow	One-Stop Unitra	anche	Split-Collateral	Unitranche	Revolver with U	nitranche	Revolver with Unitranche & Mezz	z
Revolver	\$0	Revolver	\$0	Revolver	\$0	Revolver	\$20	Revolver	\$20	Revolver	\$20
Sr. TL	\$85	Sr. TL	\$70	Term Loan	\$100	Term Loan	\$80	First Out	\$20	First Out	\$20
Total	\$85	Junior	\$30	Total	\$100	Total	\$100	Last Out	\$60	Last Out	\$60
		Total	\$100					Total	\$100	Mezz	\$20
										Total	\$120
Cost of Debt:	6.5%	Cost of Debt:	7.3%	Cost of Debt:	8.5%	Cost of Debt:	7.3%	Cost of Debt:	7.3%	Cost of Debt:	8.2%
Leverage:	4.25x	Leverage:	5.00x	Leverage:	5.00x	Leverage:	5.00x	Leverage:	5.00x	Leverage:	6.00x

Note: Cost of Debt is calculated using the midpoint of the ranges presented on Lincoln's View on Pricing and Terms for companies with at least \$15 million of EBITDA

## Commentary

- As some investors become increasingly concerned about the next economic cycle, certain lenders have migrated higher in the capital structure, often in the form of unitranche facilities
  - Some unitranche providers are now looking to split their facilities in the form of "first out / last out" structures, so that lenders targeting first lien asset protection and others looking to enhance their yield may participate under the same facility
- The middle market has seen an influx of larger lenders with greater hold sizes and more flexibility on structures
  - Borrowers are able to simplify their lending structures and optimize their balance sheets
  - Larger hold sizes reduce the required number of lenders

